
CONSIDERATIONS

Concerning the present

ENGAGEMENT,

WHETHER

It may lawfully be entered into; YEA or NO?

Written at the desire of a friend, by JOHN BURY.

The second Edition corrected and amended.

JOHN 3.21.

He that doth the Truth comes to the light.

November 2.7. I 6 4 9.

Imprimatur, Joseph Caryl.

LONDON,

Printed by J. C. for Richard Wodenothe, at the Starre under St. Peters Church in Cornhill, 1 6 5 0.

DOWNADA AND THE

强强的·包围强烈发动,和克斯克 CHER 41 2 4 3 carrie grin pri vi 2 8 200 AC C £ 122 14 3

The floored Ediplet accreected and anicolice. Tent allocation

Besin dub the Timb cours is the light.

Manual of the State of the Stat . sin Diagnol of the sixted

7. 9 6 76 9 5

5 17 3

(600, 1 6 5 0.

19 mar. 45 Flotelan

Confederations concern

CONSIDERATIONS

CONCERNING

The present Engagement.

SIR,



Ou have obliged me many wayes to serve you in all that I can for your good; but the matter of your speciall concernment, wherewith you have acquainted me of late, doth lead me of mine own accord, by mine own inclination, beyond all obligations, to endeavour your satisfaction. Seeing then your Conscience is scrupled about the Engage-

ment which by the Parliament is offered to be taken, and you say you cannot subscribe thereunto, till three main doubts concerning the same be cleared; I shall take them into serious consideration, to shew you what I think of the weight thereof, which indeed is of exceeding great moment. For you say, I. That the Oath of Allegiance, and the Nationall Covenant are still binding, and contradictory to this Present Engagement.

2. That the present Power by which the Engagement is tendered, is very doubtfull, as a power unlawfully usurped; to which usurpation you think you will be accessary if you take the Engagement.

3. That the consequence of the Engagement, seemes to tend to an opposition against the lawfull Heir of the Crowne and the right constitution of the Parliaments, whereunto you are pre-engaged, and from which you cannot recede.

To satisfie your desire, I shall lay before you, as briefly, as may be, my sence thereof, that you who have been alwayes wel-affected to the common cause of Liberry, against the designs of tyranny may be

helped somewhat, to discerne how lawfull or unlawfull, how expedient or unexpedient, it will be for you, to take, or not to take this Engagement for the publick good, & the discharge of your duty towards the same.

First then, concerning the Oath of Allegiance, and the Nationall Covenant, represent unto your self the true meaning thereof, and so order your thoughts to do that which is answerable thereunto.

The Oath of Allegiance, as you know, did bind all men as Subjects in Law, to be true and faithfull to the Kings Person, to his Here and Successours, as they were invested with the authority which the Law did give them: nor was it ever meant by the Parliament which Enacted the Oath of Allegiance, that any should be absolutely bound to the King and his Heirs, as they were men to be true and faithfull to their personall wills; but onely to them and their wills as they had a Legall standing: that is, to the Authority conferred upon them by the consent of the people, which was testified in and under a Law: whereunto the King and his Heirs were bound for the Kingdoms good by Oath: So that the Obligations of King and Subjects are mutuall, and must needs stand and fall together, according as the condition by which they are begotten is kept or broken; which is nothing elfe, but the Law according to which he and his Subjects agree, that he shall be their King, and they shall be his Subjects. For as you were fwom to the King, fo he was fwome to you: as you were bound to be faithfull to him, so he was bound to be fait full to his trust: nor is he your Liege further then he is faithfull thereur. to If then he be found unfaithfull to his trust, you are ipfo fallo. absolved from your Allegiance unto him; and if according to Law he recieves not his Authority, you are not in Law his Subjects at all. Now the just and natural foundation of all Lawes is the Reason of the Body, of every Nation in their Parl. which hath the fole Right to propose & chuse the Laws by which they will be Ruled. Whence it hath been (as I suppose) a perpetuall custome in this Nation, for the Commons at all times, to aske and propose the making of Laws. and for the Lords and King, to give their consent thereunto : the Lords as the Judges in cases of transgression, and the King as the executer, and publick Trustee for the administration of the common good and wealth thereby; for in a Kingdom there is a Common. wealth, as the intrinsicall fubstance of the Being thereof; for which all things are to be done by King and Lords, as the publick fervants thereof; and Ministers not Masters of State therein. If the King then should

thould fet himselfe willfully to be above this Reason of the Nation, which is the only Originall of the Law, and refuse obstinately the Lawes, which they shall chuse to be settled: he puts himselfe info fatto, out of the capacity of being a King any more unto them, and if this can be made out, to have been the way wherein the late King set himselfe, and that it was the designe of the House of Lords, to uphold and enable him to follow that way: it is evident, that so far as he did by that means actually un-King himselfe as to this Nation: so far also, they that assisted him in that design, did un-Lord themselves in the State thereof, and if this was the guilt of the house of Lords by other practises and proceedings more then by an indifferency and complyance with the Hamiltonian invasion, to help the King to such a Power, I know not what to answer for them.

But as to the meaning of the Oath of Allegiance, as by the perperual confent of all ages it never was otherwise understood; and by the third Article of the Nationall Covenant, (which is another. branch of this doubt) may be made manifest: It is then undeniable. that the third Article of that Nationall Covenant, was never meant by those that made it, or that took it, to be opposite to the sence of the Oath of Allegiance; but altogether agreeable thereunto. What then the meaning of that Article is, mult needs also be the true sence of the Oath of Allegiance. That Article then doth oblige you, to preserve the Right and Priviledges of the Parliament, & the Liberties of the Kingdome in your Calling, absolutely and without any limitation; but as for the Kings Person and Authority, it doth oblige you only thereunto, conditionally and with a limitation : Namely in the preferontion and defence of the true Religion and Liberties of this Kingdome: If then the King did not give to the Reprefentatives of the Nation that affurance which was fatisfactory and necessary, that their Religion and Liberties should be preserved. none but his Subjects were bound either by their Allegiance or Covenant, to defend his Person and the Authority, which was conferred upon him. The Oath of Allegiance therefore was bottomed upon the Lawes, which the Representatives of the Nation in Parl, bad chosen to be observed concerning their Religion, and the Liberties of the Kingdom; which he refractorily either casting off or seening Bo vield uned, in fuch a way that no trust could be given him that he would keep what he yielded unto; the Parliament did actually lay him aside, and voted, that no more Addresses should be made unto him : from which time forward he was no more an object of your

Oath of Allegiance, but to be lookt upon as a privat man : and your Oath by which you were engaged to be true & faithfull to the Law. by which the Religion and Liberty of the Kingdom was to be preferved did ftill remaine in force: which if it may be the true substantiall sence of the present Engagement, which you think is contradictory to this Oath, and to the Nationall Covenant, then you are to look well to it, that you be not mistaken. For to an indifferent eve, it may be thought so far from being opposite to the true lence of either, that it may be rather a confirmation of the ground; for which both the Oath of Allegiance, and the third Article of the Nationall Covenant was then binding; For the ground of all these Ob. ligations, is nothing elfe, but the welfare of the Common-wealth, which was intrinsicall, to that which was called the Kingdom, to which you are bound by the Law of Nature and Nations, to be true and faithfull for it felf, and to the King, to the particular Laws whereof the King is a fervant to keep them and fee them kept; and to the Liberties, which by Law were limited (left they should be exorbitant) and preserved, (lest they should be incroached upon) you were bound for that Common-wealths fake, which in the bosome of the Kingdom was then and is now without it extrant, and in being by it self. So then it may seeme that you are so far from being put by this Engagement upon any Declaration contradictory to your former Oaths, that you are rather obliged thereby to stand firm to the same, by the fundamentall reason thereof, as it is wrapt up in the common cause of Religion, and of the Liberty of the Nation: which notwithstanding any alterations which are fallen out, or may fall out hereafter, are to be constantly and unakerably preserved: for this or that outward form of Government, is wholly accidentall, and no wayes effentiall to any Nation of the World: and therefore is alterable, in respect of forms, as is most expedient for their exigent necessities; but to be governed by Laws, and to have the use of the true Religion; and of the National freedom, is absolutely necessary; and essentiall to the being of a Common-wealth.

It may be conceived then, that the intent of the Engagement is to this effect; that seeing there is still a Nationall tye and Association remaining amongst the People of this Land; whereof the Common good ought to be procured truly and faithfully by all that belong thereunto; therefore you are required to declare, that the want of that accidentall forme of Government, which stood in the having of a King and House of Lords, shall not take you off from being willing

to procure the same : which I think you are bound in Conscience, as

to intend, fo to declare and really to endeavour.

But you will press this further and fay, that in the third Article of the Covenant you are sworn to preserve the Rights and Priviledges of the Parl, now (fay you) amongst the Rights and Priviledges of the Parl, this is one; that therein should be a house of Lords distinct from . the Commons: and this another; that all the Members of the Commons should fit and Vote freely: for when you swore, you meant a Parl, fo constituted, and none other; but now (fay you) I am put upon a Declaration contrary to the intent of that part of my Oath: because I am obliged to be true & faithfull to the Common-wealth, as it is without such a House, and such Members of the Commons.

To examine this scruple, I shall grant materially all that you fay ; First concerning your sence of the Rights and Priviledges of Parliament. Secondly the present Parl that it is not such as the former was without any alteration. Thirdly, concerning the intention, which you fay you had in that part of your Oath a that it cannot now be profecuted to that effect, whereunto you fay you tookit; for if you took it, to preserve those Rights of Parl, which you have mentioned : it. must be granted, that such an intention cannot now be prosecuted by you in your privat calling: But yet for all this which I have granted, I must fay, that the taking of the present Engagement, will not make: you more guilty of the breach of this part of your Covenant than. you are already: for if you did when time and place was, according to your calling, what in you lay, to prevent the breach of those Priviledges; you did observe your Covenant, and cannot be accused of the infringement thereof; for when a fatall necessity of State; in the course of Divine Justice, with a power irresistible not onely so men of private, but to all that were in publick vocations, did bring about. that Change upon the Parl. no particular mensengagements were confiderable. Therefore of that charge, whether you attempted, os: attempted not to hinder it, you cannot be counted quilty; whatever the intent of your promise was in the Covenant, because it was neither morally possible nor lawfull to you in the way of your calling. to hinder the cause or effect of that change; and therefore to you it cannot be imputed as a breach of Covenant, But you will here fay. true indeed I am not guilty but others in my opinion are: But if I. promise now to be true & faithfull to the Common-wealth, as upon this breach of Priviledge they have settled it, then I confirme what, they have done and so make my felf accessary to their guilt & breach. of Covenant. Here.

Here I perceive is that which doth pinch you in the busines: you? think they that made the change broke the Covenant and if you engage under this change, as is defired, you think you break your Covenant alfo. To this I shall fay: First, that they who made the change will plead for them elves, that they are not guilty of any breach of Covenant notwichstanding that change; but this I shall leave to them to justifie, as not being needful for the resolving of your doubt at this time; Therefore in the second place, as to your self, I fee not how it will appear, that the confequence which you draw from the act of the Engagement to the breach of Covenant doth at all follow. although those that made the change flould be guilty, as you think they are. And then also this I am confident of to be able to let you fee further that although you may think that the effect of this Engagement is materially contrary to fome intention which you had in the third Article of the Covenant; yet that by the act of the Engagement, you are so far from breaking your Covenant, that except you take it, and observe it faithfully, you will not onely materially, but formally breake that very Article of the Covenant, for which you feruple the taking of the Engagement.

As for the confequence you make from taking the Engagement to a breach of the Covenant, it doth not all follow to my understanding : for the direct and plain matter of the Engagement binds you onely to procure the good of the Common-wealth, as now it stands: and because at all times & in all constitutions thereof, you are bound to do this no leffe by the Covenant it felf than by the Engagement; therefore your taking of this, to this effect, can be no breach of chat. Por the Negative Words, without a King & Honfe of Lords, (whereat you thumble) in the Engagement, may be properly and most obvioully taken, as an explication of the words Now established, immediatly going before; and not an absolute abnegation of the things looke apon truly as in themselves : fo that the obvious meaning of the words, is to me as if they had been uttered thus affertonly: This Common-wealth at present doth stand without a King and House of Lords, and although it doth fland thus; yet I promife to be true and faithfull thereunto. Now it doth nor at all follow, if I promife to do my daty to the Common-wealth, although it is at this time thus fetled therefore I am acceffary to all that hath beene done to have it thus fettled; Nor doch it follow, if I feek the good of the Common-wealth, although it wants a House of Lords; therefore I am accessary to the abolition thereof, or approve of the putting out

of the Lords wholly from all share of Government in the Commonwealth. These things are altogether incoherent: for what ground is there for me to abstaine from doing my duty to the publick; because others have done (I think) more then theirs? Or because they do it not so as I can allow of it? Can their faultiness one way, excuse my neglect of duty another way? To think so is very absurd, and therefore the consequence which you make, doth not at all follow.

But let us now go a step further, and suppose that in your apprehension of matters: this Engagement doth materially settle something in the Common-wealth, which is contrary to the intention which you had in taking the Covenant; yet I fay, that by giving your affent thereunto, as matters now stand, you break not at all your Covenant, because your Obligation to those matters by vertue of the Covenant, was extinguished, before you were called upon to take this Engagement: now that which is extinct and made void, cannot be faid to oblige any more: and all promifes are ipfo fallo, made void and extinct, in respect of their tye upon the Conscience; when the thing promised, is become in it self impossible to be done, or in reference to our calling unlawfull to be profecuted. It is impossible in nature to preserve the Kings life which is cut off, and the house of Lords which is already put down; And it is not lawfull for any in a private Calling, to attempt the restoring of that which by publick power hath been abolished. Nor did the Covenant ever intend, to engage any to fuch an attempt: nor could any be lawfully obliged to intend fuch an undertaking, nor is there any word of restoring, but onely of preserving, in the Article of the Covenant. But if in your meaning, the promife of preserving should extend it self also to a restoring endeavour; yet still the limitation of this endeavour must be in and according to your Calling, not out of it, or beyond it: Now your Calling I suppose, at present is one ly to acquiesce at the abolition of that which is made void, and not to declare any abrogation (as some would extend it) of the Right which the Lords have to fit in Parliament. They may have a Title to this Right, and yet be obliged, even for the preserving of that Right, to suspend the use of it at, this time: I may, nay I ought to resolve to abstain from the prosecution of a Right, which without an inevitable ruine to the publick wellfare cannot be obtained. Suppose that in order to the publick good, you were obliged by Oath to profecute some business, and that in following it, you should evidently

evidently perceive, that by the change of circumstances, the profecution of your business, intended for the good, would prove the ruin of the publick; I fay, that notwithstanding your Oath, by which you are engaged to follow such a business, you are nevertheles obliged to defift from it; because your Oath binds no suther then it is evident that the publick good is advanced thereb, ; and if the change of circumstances alter the whole case of your bufiness, (as often in State-Affires it falleth out) I fay your Oath is made ipfo facto, void; And thus the clause of the Covenant which relates unto the King and the House of Lords, as sworn in order to common welfare ; if any should now prosecute by force, it is evident that he would by a new War, hazard the ruining of all; which by all humane meanes possible in nature, lawfull and not contradictory to the will of God, we are all bound to the memoft to prevent; for to preferve the publick in peace and lafety, is the main end of all the promifes of the Covenant, whereunto all particular matters are fubordinate; and if I should not suspend my particular pretentions to Right in order to publick fafety, I transgresse the Covenant, which above all doth bind me unto this, which also is nothing else but the expresse sence of the Engagement which is now offered : fo that the intent thereof, is no way contradictory, but altogether coordinate and confiftent, both with the Oath of Allegiance, and the Nationall Covenant, fo far as they are obligatory.

And to go yet aften beyond this confideration, I shall adde this, that if the third Article of the Nationall Covenant, concerning the Priviledges of Parliament, be yet in force in any degree, as you suppose it is then it binds you to preserve the Priviledges of Parliament that now are, as well as those that then were. For if there hath not been a totall dissolution of all Government amongst us; but a Parl. notwithstanding all changes still kept up, and therein a Right to rule and to order matters for the publick good preserved: then the Oath of preferving thele Parl. Rights is ftill binding, fo far as the Parl, is in being : nor can it be agreeable to the intent of that Article, or to the Rule of Conscience, and of sound Reason, that because it is supposed some have made a breach upon some of the Rights of Parl. that therefore it should be free for any to break and diffolve all the reft. For if you count them guilty, who made void the Authority! that then was in any degree how can you be guiltlesse your felf, if you intend to make void all which remaines? Therefore so far as there is yet any ground of on Gettlement in the Common-

wealth.

wealth by the Authority of Parl. and by the Councel of State and Courts of Justice depending thereon; you are by that very Covenant in Conscience still bound to preserve it; and this very thing also the Engagement which is now offered doth clearly bind you, and (as I conceive) to nothing elfe directly; for the obvious fenfe of the express words can be none other but this: That so far as the Affociation of this people is fetled in a course of Government, and in the Administration of Justice, you shall not overthrow but preferve the same, although the Administration of this Government and Justice, is not now carried on by a King and House of Lords; but onely by the Parliament that now is, which certainly is your duty at this time: And if this is clearly your duty for the publick good; then you cannot understand the words of the Covenant to be binding in any other sense but in this; for the words must be taken in the fense which they can directly bear, and which do impart the main end for which the Covenant was taken; for the main end of this very Article whereof you make a scruple, was evidently to preferve the Parliament and Common-wealth for it felf, and (if need fo required) also without the King.

Now this is that which the Engagement doth directly also require; for which cause I say, that by vertue of this very promise, you are bound to take the present Engagement; and if you take it not, that you make your self a transgressor of that very Article which you pretend to keep, for if you refuse to be true and faithfull to the Common-wealth as it is now established, you do what in you lyeth to make the remaining Rights of Parl. and the beginnings of our settlement void; which though at first it was not intended to be without a King, yet it was clearly presupposed in the Article it self, as possible to be without him; and consequently, that although he should not be, yet that the Common-wealth by the Rights of Parl. and the Liberties of the Nation should be preserved, which is all

that now is fought for by the Engagement.

I hope then that you shall find no cause to scruple any further at this; but that such as under the pretence of such scruples take a course to overthrow this Parl, will be made consciously awake to see their error; and that they diametrically by such a purpose crosse the main intention of their Covenant, and become guilty of dissolving the whole tye of this Common-wealth. And this shall suffice concerning your first scruple at this time.

As concerning the present Power by which the Engagement is

tendered, your doubt is, what you ought to think of it: whether you should count it a lawful or an unlawful and usurped Power? and if such, whether you will not be accessary to their usurpation, by taking the Engagement?

To these questions I shall answer distinctly, and let you see the Rules by which I order my conversation, in these cases; that if you have nothing to except against them, you may take them up and

walk in the Righteousnels thereof.

For mine own part then, I have taken this to be a Rule, whereby all private men (such as I am) as Christians ought to walk unblameably under the superiour powers of this World: Namely, That it doth not belong to us, to judge definitively of the Rights which the supream Powers over us in the World, pretend to have unto their places. And the Reason is this, because I find it no part of the Profession of Christianity to meddle with this matter, nor can I see that. God doth allow privat men to take so much upon them over their Superiors, nor ought Superiors to suffer it in their Subjects, nor

will found reason, or a good Conscience allow it in any.

It is no part of our Christian Profession to become Judges of the great ones of this World, in respect of their Rights and pretentions to power. For we are to behave our selves a spiritual men in this. World, by the Rule of our Profession, and as strangers and pilgrims therein, taking it as our passage to a Kingdom that cannot be shaken; and using it as the subject wherein our Faith and Patience, our mortification to things present, and our hope for things to come are to be exercised. A stranger, passenger and pilgrim, takes things as he finds them on his way, makes the best of them that he can, and meddles onely with his own matters, how to advance prosperously and easily towards his journies end; that is, how to behave himself without blame and offence towards God and men, in all things, with a good Conscience: holding forth the Word of life, which is the Rule by which he dorh walk in the feare of God towards others. This is all that a Christian as a Christian, that is, by vertue of his Profession, is to meddle withall about the Affairs of this World, which in fo doing, he doth judge in the spirit of Righteousness; but if he doth make himself a judge in another kind of particular rights and pretentions of the great ones in this World, he takes upon him that which doth not belong unto him in his Profession of Christianity; for he doth more then Christ would do on earth; for Christ our Master in this Profession would not become a judge

judge of the least matters between man and man in the World; and how shall we that ought to be his followers and Disciples, take upon us to judge of the greatest of all? How shall we answer this to him? Is not this one of the great Characters of the spirit of Antichrist, that he exalts himself above all that is called God? and wherein hath he done this more remarkably towards Magistrates who are called Gods amongst men, then by exalting himself over them to become a Judge of all their Rights and pretentions to power in this World? We must therefore beware of entertaining the motions and practifes of his Spirit, whereof this is a very eminent one, to

judge of the Right of Power to Rule in the World.

Nor doth God allow in the world, those whom he hath made Subjects to Superior Powers, to take upon them to judge of the Rights and titles of those that are over them. The Rule of Subjects behaviour as Subjects is clearly determined in Rom. 13.1. till 8. & I Pet, 2, 13,14. & Tit. 3, 1. Where we find nothing but a command of submission and subjection, of not resisting, and of paying taxes & dues, and of giving honour, feare and respect for Conscience sake unto Superiour powers, because they are Gods Ordinance over private men, and they bear not the fword, which God hath put in their hand in vain. Now the Commandements thus delivered, without any limitation or restriction of their Rights to rule, or of our obedience (further then that we are bound to obey God rather then man) I suppose do oblige all Subjects that are under them, either to obev or to fuffer patiently if they find cause to refuse obedience: but that privat men in outward and humane concernments; and for worldly confiderations of their own taking up, should not find any cause to refuse obedience, I conceive is the meaning of those absolute & unlimited injunctions which the Scripture layes upon Subjects, in respect of their Superior powers: so then the duty which God hath appointed Subjects to observe towards those that are over them. in the places of power, is clearly inconfistent with the scrupulositie of this question, concerning their Right and Title to Rule. Nor should those that are in places of power suffer their titles by meer private Subjects to be questioned; for either they should actually suppresse the disputes & disquiries of that nature in private men, as not at all belonging to their cognizance, or they should prevent it in others who are to be accounted their equals, & to whom in reafon they are accountable of their proceedings (for God hath made no men so Supreme as not to be accountable unto others in a rea**fonable**

fonable way) by fome fatisfactory declarations or demonstrations of the grounds of their Right to their places, & of the equity of their proceedings therein. Nor lastly, can it stand with found Reason or a good conscience in any privat man, to take upon him to be a Judge of that matter, & to suspend his acts of obedience in things otherwife good & lawfull in themselves, till his scruples in that kinde be fatisfied. For first, no found reason will allow any manto take upon him the judicature of rights, whereof it is not obvious to him to know the true grounds circumstantially; & feeing all claims to places amongst men depend upon the concurrences of many circumstances, which in the way of justice give to one & take away from another a right to the same; & it is in Gods hand alone, to order the incidency of those circumstances between those that have power, & the competitors for the same places: & privat men cannot possibly in their ordinary way (wherein they are bound to ftand and walk) know affuredly the incidencies of these circumstances, which change the nature of rights and claimes to places; therefore no justice nor reason can allow privat men to be Judges of things whereof it is not morally possible for them to have a true infight, and whereinto they have no calling by God or men to make a special inquiry. without which they become unreasonably & unconscionably prefumptuous, if they fettle within themselvs, or utter towards others any judgement definitively. Then in the second place, it is a most unconfcionable practice in any whom God hath put in the place of fubjection, and of living in a private station, to refift the powers that are over him, requiring good and lawfull things, onely because he is not fatisfied in their right to require those things of him, and in their Title to their places, as if Superiour Powers that are actually in the posession of places, which God hath put in their hands corule others by, and serve the publick with, were accountable to every private man, concerning their right, by which they stand under God in their Charges, and as if it were lawfull formen profeffing Christianity, to dispense with matters of duty in themselves commendable and profitable to common edification, onely because they will appear opposite for some worldly respects unto those that are over them, to whom they owe due respectand submission.

Now after all this, if you fay, what? shall private Christians then make themselvs flaves to any that will rule over them, without judging rationally, who are their lawfull Superiours to whom they owe obedience? I say to this, no: for Christians are the onely free men

The later of the l

of the world: all the reft are flaves to their proper paffions hifts opposite interests; but he that is subject to the law of liberty, doing all by a rule; is truly free and none but he. But you will fay; by what rule then shall he discern who is Superiour? I answer by a rule agreeable to fense, to reason and to conscience. Sense will shew him who is actually in profession of all power & places of Government over him. and by this he will perceive under whom he doth fland. Reason will thew what he who is over him pretends unto; whether year or no. his pretences are backed with power to maintain his right against ass adverfaries therein; and whether yea or no, the use of that power be limited by Law, or left wholly to his own will without any Law? and Conscience will shew that he to whom God hath committed the plenary administration of publick affairs with unconfrontable power, is Gods Vicegerent over the fociety of those to whom his administration doth extend it felf, either by vertue of a contract, which makes a Law, or by vertue of a conquest, which is bound to no Law. but the will of the conqueror; ifor if the Apostle doth reach us that all souls ought to be subject to the higher powers, because there is no power but of God, and because the powers that be in place are ordained of God; then it will follow, that those who are actually Supreme, and in a plenary possession of power, ought to be obeyed as Gods Ordinance: for it is not possible that any can attain to the height of power, without Gods disposall of it into his hands. Here then a Christian rests, and freely performs his duty toward him, in all things good and lawfull, and makes no further inquity after the rights to titles according to Laws of men because he doth consider, that the most high giveth the Kingdoms of men to whomfoever he pleafeth. Thus keeping my Spirit from flying out beyond his bounds one way, and following the directions of a clear rule another way; I prevent this scruple wherewith you trouble your felf, without cause, and intangle your Conscience against your duty.

But here again it may be faid, If this be the condition of Subjects, and if their dury toward Superiours is thus circumfcribed; what way is there left for them to be freed from the unnaturall usurpation of tyrannicall powers? I answer, there be three ways which God hath left to the reason of men to make use of, partly to prevent, partly to redresse the tyrannicall usurpations of an over-ruling Power. The 1. is, to settle subordinate Officers under him, without whom he cannot act. The 2. is, to settle sawes whereby to circumscribe him, and their actings, and a Law-making power, to whom both he and they are to be accountable. And the third is, the great & invincible Law of

necefficy, whereof every one is fo far the Judge in his own cause; and in his own place, as he is moved thereby, to venture his life & welfare to observe the dictats thereof by these means subjects without judging of the litles of Superiours, may represe the undue usurpation of power in tyrannical spirits: where you may take notice that although you & I as private men ought not to make our selves Judges of the rights which superiours pretend to have, in and to their places; yet that they are not without a judicature over them in those places; for the subordinate Officers belonging to a State, are bound to judge of the rights of those that are over them; both by which they stand in their places of supremacy, and by which they proceed in their actings roward Subjects, least they be made the instruments of Arbitrary power & tyranny and then also the Law-making power, which in all Nations relides by the Law of Nature, in the convention of the Representatives of the whole body of the people whether it be made up of the heads of families, or of chosen Deputies, who are intrusted with a delegated power from all the rest) doth make or un-make rights, in all places & perfons within it felf, as it from time to time doth fee cause. As for the Law of necessity which begetteth war, whereby God is immediately appealed unto, by those that pretend to have no Superiors on earth. that he may judge of their rights; what soever his hand doth determine in the event, is to be counted the right of those in favour, of whom the determination is made by his Judgement.

By these rules then quiet your mind according to your place, concerning the right, which the present powers have to rule; do not take upon you to define matters whereof you are no competent Judge: you are made a competent judge only of your own actions which belong to a Subject, as you are under a visible & uncontroulable power which God hath fet over you, and your duty is to submit there. unto, in all things agreeable to the will of God, judging your felf. that you put no stumbling block, or an occasion of offence in any mans way, Rom. 14.13. Yet I will not fay but in the judgement of difcretion as you are a member of this Common-wealth, and concerned in the publick welfare thereof, you may look upon your Superiours, to fee how they pretend to stand; that is, by what apparent right, & with what visible power they possess their places, but this you ought notto do so peremptorily, as to oblige your conscience, as to be sufpended upon the observations which you shall happen to make of them and their proceedings; as if your private judgement in such cafes should be the rule, by which you ought to walk in point of obe-

dience

dience: I fay you ought not to fet up this judgement of yours fo high within your felf st ever others as to drown the thoughts of all other tules; but
you ought to limitite as I have faid before, within the bounds of Christianity, and discreet actionality: wherein that I may help you yet a little furtherConfiden soberly, with your self-what can be answered to this pleas, which
they will alleadge for themselves lieved and shorts the state of the

1. Whether yea or no the Nationall tye and affociation, by which we were a Common wealth while we were yet called a Kingdom hath ever been diffolved?

2. If it hath not been dissolved, what hath kept in entire in the middest of all these shakings? was it not a Panliament, and the subordination of all officers throughout the Nation under it?

3. And if a Parl is still remaining, and all subordinate Officers in places of fudicature and execution, stand under it throughout the whole Nation, so that all men may have a legall protection from injuries; what is there wanting to a

lawfull Power and Government? : won no

4. If nothing be wanting to a tegall protection, for those that scknowledge the jurisdiction, then such as acknowledge it not, do put themselves out of that protection: and if they resist the power which God hath set over them for the publick good, and which is actually and fully possess with all the places of publick administration, they resist the Ordinance of God; and they that resist this Ordinance (saith the Apostle) shall receive to themselves damnation. Rom. 13.2.

As for the point of enquiry, how these particular men in whose hands the power & Government is, are come to their present places, whether in a legall way, or that which you call usurpation, it doth not belong to the conscience of any man, who is in a privat station, to determine peremptorily, far lesse upon his determination, to suspend his actings towards the publique good. Yet if in this also you defire to restect upon the passages of Right without obliging your Conscience to stand engaged either way, by that which you shall observe, I shall further suggest these heads, of matters appliable unto the case of those whom you suspect to be usurpers, unto your impartial meditation, as a Plea which they do alledge for themselves.

I. Whether yea or no, it be any way unjust by the Law of Nutare among men

that are equals to resist force with force?

2. If it be just among equals, to resist force with force, the second point will be to consider. Whether he that invades another mans naturall right, or he that de-

fends his own is to be accounted the Usurper?

3. If he that invades, and seeks to deprive another man of his right, he the Unsurper; then he that by refistance is deprived of that whereof he attempted to deprive his neighbour is not wronged by way of nsurpation, but justly defeated of the power which he did abuse.

Now they will say, that the case was thus first between the King and Parl. if.

C

you count them equals (which is the least can be given, fay they to a Parl by the Law of Nature and Nations) and then afterward between the one parry; and the other in the Parl, the fame case was acted again, as betweene equals whereupon the City-Militia on the one hand, and the Army on the other was depending and fet on work for action And how far (thele powers having clashed) those that prevailed did think themselves necessitated to fettle the fafety of the Common-wealth in their own way, and . what fettlement that hath by Gods permilfion brought forth, and upon what ground it now stands, I shal not need to represent unto you: only the fober consideration of the grounds which the party accused of usurpation. doth alledge for its proceedings are to be thought upon indifferently, without prejudicate affections, if you will free your Conscience from a snare. And this shal suffice also, concerning the first branch of your second doub. But let us now come to the fecond branch thereof, which supposing the D) wer to be usurped, doth question how far by taking the Engagement, you become accessary to the guilt thereof? To this question, I shall answer briefly thus: That the Engagement being a duty ivit to be required by the present Powers f. om their Subjects; without the performance of which. there is no protection due unto them; and necessary to be performed by all that will not professe themselves desirous, to overthrow the present safety & publick welfare of the Nation: It cannot make those that take it accessary to the guilt of those that tender it, if any be in them; because the performance of a thing good in it felf, and just and necessary for me to do in reference unto others can derive no guilt before God from others, of the evill which may be in thee upon me. Al moral actions are to be counted good or evill lawfull or unlawful according to the justice of the rule by which they are done, and according to the usefulnesse and conveniency of the immediate and proper end, for which they are done; and if both these be found in the Agent thereof, no guilt can from without be brought upon him, by any co-Agents. Now the Rule of Justice in this case is, That we are bound to shew fidelity unto those of whom we defire protection: And that we are bound to be ready to every good work, towards those with whom we live, which is all that in the present state of this Common-wealth is required of us; which if we defire not to performe, we deferve not to have a being in it: and if we defire to perform this, there can be no cause why we should not professe it, or why the profession of our willingnes to do this should make us guilty of other mens finnes. As concerning the end for which the Engagement is to be taken, it is to oblige all to intend one and the fame publick good fo far as in the present constitution of affairs it may be advanced: and to give the Supreme power an affurance that we shall not berray

it; but that we are willing to maintain all good intelligence for publick con-

cerements with it notwithstanding the present changes brought upon the Common-wealth.

Suppose those that that have the present Power, had without any apprehension of necessity for common fafety or danger to their own fafety and liberty, onely for some finister ends usurped the places wherein they are, yet by Gods permission and direction over me, they being now therein, and finding themselves obliged by their places to procure peace and unity among the Subjects of this Land, and to preserve the publick interest for the good of all according to their best understanding if they use any expedient which doth tend thereunto, and offer it unto me to concur with them therein, with what Conscience can I refuse a concurrence to fuch an intention? If they having done amis formerly, fet themselves now to do well can I with any Conscience oppose them therein? It is just or pious, that because they found no fafety in the way by which I would have fetled the Commonwealth, and have altered it, that therefore I frould refuse to concur with them henceforth in any other way of at their motion do any thing, although it may be found never fo useful and necessary in it self for the good of the Common-wealth. If they were guilty one way (as you imagine) by taking upon them more then they had right to do; take heed least ye be more guilty another way, by refuling to do that which before God and men you are obliged to do: if you are afraid of partaking of their fin, then take heed that you difturb not the publick welfare as much or more by this fine then they did by that. If their guilt was by the usurpation of power to diffolye the way of fertlement wherein we were take heed least ve obstruct all other wayes which henceforth may be taken towards a happy fettlements onely by the refusal of due subjection unto the power that is now overyou. because you think your felf of your party wrongfully deprived of the power which you had. If you strive for power as much as you think they have done, then you are more accessary to their usurpation by doing that your felf for which you condemn them, then by yielding to any lawfull Engagement for the good of the Common-Wealth, which they propose uncover. Thus while you pretend to avoid a doubtful guilt of another mans fin, leaft it reflect upon you; you contract an undoubted guilt of your own fur, by refusing a necessary duty to the Common-wealth. The truth is they cannot be faid guilty of Usurpation of Power; for it was by all the Authority of the Common-wealth that then was, both of King and Parl, put into their hands; but if their guilt lies any where, it is this, that they abused their Power : now you cannot be made accessary to this abuse thereof, which is past already: if you give not your expres consent and approbation to that which they did, which I am confident they will never urge any man to do, who wil promise hencesorward to be faithfull to the peace and prosperity of this State:

State, for some of the Conncel of State themselves, would not be ingaged to approve of all proceedings past, and yet fit still in Councel with them to. advance the publick welfar in time to come, whereby you may perceive that by this Engagement they mean not to draw in others to be accessary, to their past proceedings, but to know who they are that are faithfull in the Land, and willing to concur in good and lawfull undertakings in due time: for this is all that the Engagement can rationally be stretcht unto, and he that wil not admit of it in this sense, makes himself actually lyable to a greater fin then that which he pretends to be afraid to fall into, which is a way of proceeding very prepofterous & unconscionable to fin for fear of being found finfull. Hitherto I have infifted upon your two fuft doubts more largely then I did purpose at first; therefore in the third and last, I shall be more brief, for if in the two former you be well fatisfyed concerning that which is your duty, I cannot fee how in this last you can be much further. ferupled for if your Conscience is once throughly convicted of the lawfulness and necessity of a duty, it must cast the events and consequences of the performance upon Gods providence, and not lay the conjectural appearances of your own apprehensions, in the ballance therewith. In the third doubt. you fay the consequence of the Engagement seems to tend to the opposition of two things. I. To exclude the lawful Heir of the Crown from his Right. 2. To exclude the Lords from fitting in Parl. To which things you fay you and pre-engaged, and from which you cannot recede. To which I shall offer these considerations to your more deliberate judgement. 1, If those be onely feeming inconveniences, and the other a certain and undoubted conveniency nay a necessary and an undispensible duty; your Conscience cannot infly fulpend the latter for the formers fake, for there is no proportion of obligation (in respect of Conscience) between that which is seeming & that which is indoubtedly certain; we are commanded not to judge according to appearances, but to judge righteous judgement John 7. 24. by which we must conclude, that to follow appearances, is not to follow the Rules of Righteouspels; and consequently, that it is not conscionable to act unrighteous, or to suspend righteous actings, only for appearances of evill; and as it is abfurd to do evill that good may come of it; fo it is also unconscionable to leave off the doing of that which is infallibly good, that no doubtfull evill may come of it; and then consider the duty which you refuse to do, relates to the whol Commonwealth, the fafety of all, and your own necessary peace and preservation, and the evil which you fear wil come upon it relates onely to the seeming violation of a particular right of some few persons, which is, or may be doubtfull, whether you be any further engaged thereto yea or no; for when you fay that you are preengaged, fo that you cannot recede; I must suppose that you mean not a wilful but a conscionable preengage-

gagement, and that you cannot lawfully recede from it : but if the contrary hath already appeared and is clear to your Conscience now, that your duty and pre-engagement to the whole Common-wealth, cannot lawfully and conscionably be put in the ballance, with a particular Engagement to some perfors depending thereon; then you cannot make any further doubt of that which should be done in this case; for I cannot imagine that you wil think it lawfull for you to dispense with your interest toward the universall good of the Common-wealth, for any particular engagement, though never fo ftrong otherwife, and lawfully undertaken at first: for if the interest of him, whom you call the Heir of the Crown, & of the men called the Peers of the Kingdom, is of so much weight with you, that you will do no good also to the Common-wealth without them : then it is clear, that in your e-Reem they are more then the Common-wealth to you, and that the common cause, for the maintaining of which al your Engagements are brought ppon you, is not fo much valued by you, as the particular cause of these persons, which how you can with a good Conscience allow in your self, I am not able to understand. I say then, that if the particular interests and pretentions of any, come to justle with the publick good in your affections, and justle out the same, it is clear that you are not faithfull to your principles of Conscience and Reason before God and men, but that you are willing to betray the common cause to particular designes; and consequently that you will feek your felf in the bottome more then the publick good because it cannot be doubted, that if you will subordinate your zeal and love to the Common-wealth unto the respect which you have to other mens advantages; that you will far more (if occasion be offered) subordinate the same unto the respect which you have to your own advantages. For the refolution of this scruple you ought as I conceive to understand your self thus far, that you cannot entertain the thought of any engagement or obligation lawfully, which doth cause your Engagement and obligation to be true and faithfull to the Common-wealth, at all times or at any time; therefore with a good Conscience, if you find your obligation to the Heir of the Crown or to the Priviledges of Peers, fall crosse and opposite by change of circumstances (as all human matters are changeable by Circumstances) to the common good of the Nation; (I fay) you cannot in such a case maintain that obligation fo, as not to be receded from it with a good Conscience; and if the proposall of this Engagement, doth discover thus much of your corruption unto you by such a scruple, you are to be humbled for it before God, & laying afide henceforth all Hypocrifie, rectifie the intentions of the heart, with uprightness and fincerity. And all this I offer to be confidered by you, supposing your pre-engagement to have been just and lawful, as no doubt it was, but yet that now your. Resolution not to recede from it, cannot:

cannot be still just and lawfull as matters now stand in the state, if you will make that pre-engagement to justle out of your heart this Engagement,

which now is offered unto you to be taken.

As for the dissolution of your rie and obligation to the Heir of the Crown, I shall refer you to look upon God, whether he hath not dispossessed him wholly by his own doings and Councels, and by the guilt derived from his Father and Mother upon him, of all his interest in this Kingdom and Common-wealth; for because his aim and the aim of those that are about him is not for the Common-wealth, but for the Kingdom, that is not for

the good of the fociety, but for felf-greatness.

Therefore God, who takes and gives the Rights of Government by the putting of one into the actual possession of a Ruling Power, and by taking of the same Power away from another, to fulfil his own Councell & judgements over this People, and over those that exalt themselves over them by destroying the Earth he hath done as it feemeth good in his own eyes, both with him who according to men claims the Crown; and with those that were the supporters thereof, more then promoters of the publick good: And what God who dorh exalt one and put down another, determines in this kind, in the fight of all the World, and (I may fay) against the clear intentions of all that engaged themselves at first for the good of the Nations. & for the Kings good allo; what I fay, he determines thus in this kind against mens intentions and expectations, whole affections have been fincerely fet for the Kings just Rights no less then yours; you and I have no warrant to contradictor oppose in our thoughts, but we must observe this way of changing the Rights, and Making the Titles of the Earth, that the Lordalone may be exalted in the day of our common and their special visitation. For I conceive that the Prophesie of the Prophet Isaiah, chap, 24. verse 21. is begun to be fulfilled amongst us, somewhat more remarkably then in other parts of the Earth as yet, which is this: And it shall come to paffe in that day, that the Lord shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the Kings of the earth upon the earth; and they shall be gathered together as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in pri-Son, and after many daies shall be visited. Then the Moon shall be confounded. and the Sun Ball be ashamed, when the Lord of hosts Bull reign in Mount Sion. and before his ancients gloriously. I shall not now stand to open these words unto you further then their tense is obvious to shew that which in another place the Propher faith, to the same or like effect, That the lofty tooks of men shall be humbled & the haughtine fe of men bowed down, and the Lord alone shall be exalted in that day; for the day of the Lord of hoast's shall be on every one that To proud and lofty, and upon every one that is lifted up, and he shall be brought low: Which is a warning also to those that are now exalted in power over

us, left they be high-minded in their own conceits, and their ruin come fuddenly, and without remedy, if they all or any of them will, as Ifrael once did fay to the Seers fee not, and to the Prophets, prophecy not right things unto us: prophecy deceipts, and cause the holy One of Ifract, and his Law to cease from before us. And if when they begin to despise his word (as some of them otherwise very active & inftrumentall in outward changes feem to do) they trust then in oppression & perversnesse, and lean upon their sword and stay thereon, they must take notice, they shall be taught to know with dear experience, if they alter not their course; Ffa.50. 11.12.13. that this iniquity shall be to them as a breach ready to fall; swelling out in a high wall, whose breaking cometh suddenly and at an instant; for if the tallest Cedars are not spared, but cut down, when they exalt themselves above the Trees of the Forrest; how shall the smaller shrubs be borne withall, when they are guilty of the same misdemeanor? They therefore that stand before the Lord of the whole earth, let them be wife and fear; he standeth among the Gods & judgeth: even he, who being the King of Kings, came to ferve all men through love, and doth teach all men to deny themselves, & become his Disciples; learning of him that he is meeke, and humble of heart. If they feeke themselves & not the Common-wealth, whereunto they pretend to engage others; they shall be found out by those whom they engage to the Interest of the Common-wealth, who mind it sincerely; and being discovered they shall be cast out of their greatness in it. We have seene severall parties up, and their feverall Interests fet a foot; and their changes came, because the true Interest of Christianity, wherein all Common-wealths alone can prosper, hath not ben so much minded by them as their own Interests we should therefore pray for those that are over us now, that thoughthey may have had and have ftil their failings, yet that they may not be fplit upon this Rock; and we should watch also over our own souls, lest we be made a cause of their own splitting, and of the ruine of all, by being inticed to be wilfully scrupulous in these matters; as perhaps some are for ends of their own to make the way of Government difficult, and the standing of those that are in places of power unfafe. If any be fuch (of which number I know you are none) they shall eat of the fruits of their own doings affuredly. For if they acknowledg the jurisdiction deceitfully, to betray it; God will find them out:if they will not acknowledg it, nor any thing (though never o good) offered to the publick interest by it; onely because they will keep mens spirits at a distance from it: they shal not escape to be consumed for the fire which they do maliciously kindle to destroy the Common-wealth. If the common interest, which I am perswaded, is in simplicity to be aimed at by the engagement, according to their sense that offer it; were without ferupulofity and contradiction taken up and intended by all; what an e

- Confiderations concerning

fie matter would it be in a thort time to bring at last about a teal Reformation of all our grievances; but if those that complain of preffures & grievances, and of the charge of an Army by their own disaffection to the publick and unrulinels under Government, make an Army absolutely necessary. & occasion the grievances themselves, whereof they make complaints onely to cast an odium upon the Government, they will be found to bethe children of their Father the Devill, and receive with him their reward; for he obstructs all that is good in every one, and tempts all unto distempers & diforderly carriages, and then layes them to their charge to make them of dious thereby. Besides the scruples which you have made in this businesse. I have met with some, that labour to make strange interpretations and inferences upon every word of the Engagement, as if it were in the meaning of those that offer it, a bundle of mares; but trouble not your felf with that, for in all promises of this kind, the Rule is, that you must take the fense which is most obvious to express an undeniable dury and by following this, you shall not be intangled into scruples & suspicions, what others may strain the words unto. Another told me (& I understand by him that many are thus (crupled) that although he could take the Engagement in a lawfull sense, and approve the obvious sense of it, vet that he ought not to do it, by reason of the offences, which many godly people would take at him for it, who cannot but think it a breach of Covenant. To this I answer, that in a necessary matter of duty, an offence wrongfully taken at it, ought not to be regarded by those that perform it; but they ought rather, to follow their own conscience, and give to those that are offended at them, in their way a fatisfactory reason of the justice thereof to instruct them; but in things of an indifferent nature, which are free to be done or left undone, there we are bound to suspend the action which may be taken offenfively : as for this matter I fay, that on both hands there will be offences. given or taken and that by the godly. For as some godly will be offended. at the taking of the Engagement, so some others will be offended at the not taking thereof: the case then will be which of these two offences I am, most to avoid; whether that which is wrongfully or that which is justly taken, both by the godly, and also by those that are in superiority; whom I offend fo as to give them just cause, to deny unto me for my offence their protection, and my necessary safety, and where of the same act, the offence on the one hand is finfully given, and on the other wrongfully taken; it is easie to Judge which of the two is to be avoided. I shall leave these things to your conscionable & un-prejudicat consideration to be weighed in the fear of God by you; as in his presence without humane respects they From my Chamber Nov. 27, 1649. friend in Christ, J. Dary.

